



Record of Officer's Decision

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of Decision:	22 April 2024
Decision Maker (Officer): Authority for Delegated Decision (Cabinet/Committee Decision or Scheme of Delegation – provide reference):	Damian Williams Corporate Director, Operations and Delivery Part 3, Schedule 3 – Responsibility for Executive Functions delegated to Officers paragraph 4.3 (1) – the Corporate Director has delegated authority to discharge executive functions within their respective service areas (Part 3.38). All delegations are subject to consultation where considered appropriate in the circumstances (paragraph 4.3 (4(ii) – Part 3.39). The Corporate Director has delegated authority in respect of the determination of all procedural matters associated with the management of Council tenancies.
Identify which Portfolio Holder(s)/Committee Chairman consulted?	None
Ward Member(s) consulted?	No
Is it a Key Decision?	No
Is it subject to call-in?	No
Decision Made:	To approve a Deed of Variation, including a lease extension for 21 William Groom Avenue, Dovercourt
Reason for Decision (if a report was produced to support the Decision, refer to or attach it):	As set out in the supporting report.

Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:	As set out in the supporting report.	
Details of any Alternative Options Considered and rejected (together with reasons):	Not to respond to the request and/or not to grant a new lease. However, the Leasehold Reform Act 1967 entitles leaseholders of qualifying houses the right to extend their leases in accordance with the Act and the Council is obligated to implement those provisions when it receives an application from a leaseholder meeting the specified criteria. This Deed of Variation will also rectify the position regarding the insurance of this property.	
Details of any declarations of interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision) If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:	N/A	
Reason Decision, or	Y Not applicable.	
supporting Report, is not published: Tick one or more of the specific exemptions,	The report supporting the Decision contains confidential information The Report supporting the Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Schedule 12A of the Local	
and	 Government Act 1972 Information: Relates to an individual Likely to reveal the identity of an individual 	
Give more information in the final box with regards to why the exemption applies and outweighs the public interest test (which is in favour of disclosure).	 Relating to financial or business affairs of a person or organisation 	
	Relates to a claim for legal professional privilege in legal proceedings	
	 Reveals that the Council proposes to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or to make an order or direction under any enactment 	
	Relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime	

	And is exempt if and so long, as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information Reasons: N/A
<u>Officers</u>	
Signed:	Title: Corporate Director, Operations and Delivery
In consultation with:	
Signed:	Portfolio Holder For Housing

olghed.	Fortione holder for housing
Signed:	Section 151 Officer (if required)
Signed:	Monitoring Officer (if required)

Dated: 22 April 2024